arXiv:1409.7327v1 [math.AP] 25 Sep 2014

# Mean curvature flow with obstacles: existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions

Gwenael Mercier*, Matteo Novaga†

**Abstract**

We show short time existence and uniqueness of $C^{1,1}$ solutions to the mean curvature flow with obstacles, when the obstacles are of class $C^{1,1}$. If the initial interface is a periodic graph we show long time existence of the evolution and convergence to a minimal constrained hypersurface.

## Contents

**1 Introduction and main results** **1**

**2 Mean curvature flow with a forcing term** **3**
2.1 Evolution of geometric quantities . . . . . 3
2.2 The Monotonicity Formula . . . . . 5

**3 Proof of Theorem 1** **7**

**4 Proof of Theorem 2** **16**

**5 Proof of Theorem 3** **20**

**A Viscosity solutions with obstacles** **20**
A.1 Definition of viscosity solution . . . . . 20
A.2 Comparison principle . . . . . 21
A.3 Existence . . . . . 22
A.4 Regularity . . . . . 24

## 1 Introduction and main results

Mean curvature flow is a prototypical geometric evolution, arising in many models from Physics, Biology and Material Science, as well as in a variety of mathematical problems. For such a reason, this flow has been widely studied in the past years, starting from the pioneristic work of K. Brakke [Bra78] (we refer to [GH86, Hui84, EH89, ES91, CGG91] for a far from complete list of references).

*CMAP, École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France, email: gwenael.mercier@cmap.polytechnique.fr
†Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127 Pisa, Italy; e-mail: novaga@dm.unipi.it

In some models, one needs to include the presence of hard obstacles, which the evolving surface cannot penetrate (see for instance [ESV12] and references therein). This leads to a double obstacle problem for the mean curvature flow, which reads

$$v = H \qquad \text{on } M_t \cap U, \tag{1}$$

with constraint

$$M_t \subset \overline{U} \qquad \text{for all } t, \tag{2}$$

where $v$, $H$ denote respectively the normal velocity and $d$ times the mean curvature of the interface $M_t$, and the open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ represents the obstacle. Notice that, due to the presence of obstacles, the evolving interface is in general only of class $C^{1,1}$ in the space variable, differently from the unconstrained case where it is analytic (see [ISZ98]). While the regularity of parabolic obstacle problems is relatively well understood (see [Sha08] and references therein), a satisfactory existence and uniqueness theory for solutions is still missing.

In [ACN12] (see also [Spa11]) the authors approximate such an obstacle problem with an implicit variational scheme introduced in [ATW93, LS95]. As a byproduct, they prove global existence of weak (variational) solutions, and short time existence and uniqueness of regular solutions in the two-dimensional case. In [Mer14] the first author adapts to this setting the theory of viscosity solutions introduced in [CIL92, CGG91], and constructs globally defined continuous (viscosity) solutions.

Let us now state the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $M_0 \subset U$ be an initial hypersurface, and assume that both $M_0$ and $\partial U$ are uniformly of class $C^{1,1}$, with $\operatorname{dist}(M_0, \partial U) > 0$. Then there exists $T > 0$ and a unique solution $M_t$ to (1), (2) on $[0, T)$, such that $M_t$ is of class $C^{1,1}$ for all $t \in [0, T)$.*

Notice that Theorem 1 extends a result in [ACN12] to dimensions greater than two.

When the hypersurface $M_t$ can be written as the graph of a function $u(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, equation (1) reads

$$u_t = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}\, \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}}\right). \tag{3}$$

If the obstacles are also graphs, the constraint (2) can be written as

$$\psi^- \leqslant u \leqslant \psi^+, \tag{4}$$

where the functions $\psi^\pm : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the obstacles.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that $\psi^\pm \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $u_0 \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy (4). Then there exists a unique (viscosity) solution $u$ of (3), (4) on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \max\left(\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \|\nabla \psi^\pm\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right) \\ \|u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \left\| \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_0|^2}\, \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u_0}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_0|^2}}\right)\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \end{aligned}$$

*for all $t > 0$. Moreover $u$ is also of class $C^{1,1}$ uniformly on $[0, +\infty)$.*

We observe that Theorem 2 extends previous results by Ecker and Huisken [EH89] in the unconstrained case (see also [CN13]).

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that $u_0$ and $\psi^{\pm}$ are $Q$-periodic, with periodicity cell $Q = [0, L]^d$, for some $L > 0$. Then the solution $u(\cdot, t)$ of (3), (4) is also $Q$-periodic. Moreover there exists a sequence $t_n \to +\infty$ such that $u(\cdot, t_n)$ converges uniformly as $n \to +\infty$ to a stationary solution to (3), (4).*

Our strategy will be to approximate the obstacles with "soft obstacles" modeled by a sequence of uniformly bounded forcing terms. Differently from [ACN12], where the existence of regular solution is derived from variational estimates on the approximating scheme, we obtain estimates on the evolving interface, in the spirit of [EH91a, EH91b, CNV11], which are uniform in the forcing terms.

### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank to Antonin Chambolle for interesting discussions and useful comments on this work. The first author was partially supported by the ANR-12-BS01-0014-01 Project Geometrya.

## 2 Mean curvature flow with a forcing term

### 2.1 Evolution of geometric quantities

Let $M$ be a complete orientable $d$-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, let $F(\cdot, t) : M \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a smooth family of immersions, and denote by $M_t$ the image $F(M, t)$. Since $M_t$ is orientable, we can write $M_t = \partial E(t)$ where $E(t)$ is a family of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ depending smoothly on $t$. We say that $M_t$ evolves by mean curvature with forcing term $k$ if

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(p, t) = -\big(H(p, t) + k(F(p, t))\big)\, \nu(p, t), \tag{5}$$

where $k : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth forcing term, $\nu$ is the unit normal to $M_t$ pointing outside $E(t)$, and $H$ is ($d$ times) the mean curvature of $M_t$, with the convention that $H$ is positive whenever $E(t)$ is convex.

We shall compute the evolution of some relevant geometric quantities under the law (5). We denote by $\nabla^S$, $\Delta^S$ respectively the covariant derivative and the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $M$. As in [Hui84], the metric on $M_t$ is denoted by $g_{ij}(t)$, it inverse is $g^{ij}(t)$, the scalar product (or any tensors contraction using the metric) on $M_t$ is denoted by $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ whereas the ambiant scalar product is $(\cdot\,, \cdot)$, the volume element is $\mu_t$, and the second fondamental form is $A$. In particular we have $A(\partial_i, \partial_j) = h_{ij}$, where we set for simplicity $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, and $H = h_{ii}$, using the Einstein notations (we implicitly sum every index which appears twice in an expression). We also denote by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ the eigenvalues of $A$.

Notice that, in terms of the parametrization $F$, we have

$$g_{ij} = (\partial_i F\,,\, \partial_j F)\,, \qquad h_{ij} = -\left(\partial^2_{ij} F\,,\, \nu\right) \qquad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}. \tag{6}$$

**Proposition 1.** *The following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}g_{ij} &= -2(H+k)h_{ij} && (7)\\
\frac{d}{dt}\nu &= \nabla^S(H+k) && (8)\\
\frac{d}{dt}\mu_t &= -H(H+k)\mu_t && (9)\\
\frac{d}{dt}h_{ij} &= \Delta^S h_{ij} + \nabla^S_i\nabla^S_j k - 2Hh_{il}g^{lm}h_{mj} - kg^{ml}h_{im}h_{jl} + |A|^2h_{ij} && (10)\\
\frac{d}{dt}H &= \Delta^S(H+k) + (H+k)|A|^2 && (11)\\
\frac{d}{dt}|A|^2 &= \Delta^S|A|^2 + 2kg^{ij}g^{sl}g^{mn}h_{is}h_{lm}h_{nj} + 2|A|^4 - 2|\nabla^S A|^2 + 2\left\langle A\,,\,(\nabla^S)^2k\right\rangle . && (12)
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof follows by direct computations as in [Hui84, EH91b]. Recalling (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}g_{ij} &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\partial_i F\,,\,\partial_j F\right) = -(H+k)\left((\partial_i\nu\,,\,\partial_j F) + (\partial_i F\,,\,\partial_j\nu)\right) = -2(H+k)h_{ij}\\
\frac{d}{dt}\nu &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\nu\,,\,\partial_i F\right)g^{ij}\partial_j F = -\left(\nu\,,\,\frac{d}{dt}\partial_i F\right)g^{ij}\partial_j F\\
&= \left(\nu\,,\,\partial_i((H+k)\nu)\right)g^{ij}\partial_j F = \partial_i(H+k)g^{ij}\partial_j F = \nabla^S(H+k).
\end{aligned}$$

The evolution of the measure on $M_t$

$$\mu_t = \sqrt{\det[g]}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det[g]} &= \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\det[g]}{2\sqrt{\det[g]}} = \frac{\det[g]\cdot\mathrm{Tr}\left(g^{ij}\,\frac{d}{dt}g_{ij}\right)}{2\sqrt{\det[g]}}\\
&= -\sqrt{\det[g]}\cdot(H+k)g^{ij}h_{ji} = -\mu_t H(H+k).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (10) we compute (as usual, we denote the Christoffel symbols by $\Gamma^k_{ij}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}h_{ij} &= -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\nu\,,\,\partial^2_{ij}F\right)\\
&= -\left(\nabla^S(H+k)\,,\,\partial^2_{ij}F\right) + \left(\partial^2_{ij}(H+k)\nu\,,\,\nu\right)\\
&= -\left(g^{kl}\partial_k(H+k)\partial_l F\,,\,\Gamma^k_{ij}\partial_k F - h_{ij}\nu\right)\\
&\quad +\partial^2_{ij}(H+k) + (H+k)\left(\partial_j\left(h_{im}g^{ml}\partial_l F\right)\,,\,\nu\right)\\
&= \partial^2_{ij}(H+k) - \Gamma^k_{ij}\partial_k(H+k) + (H+k)h_{im}g^{ml}\left(\Gamma^k_{lj}\partial_k F - h_{lj}\nu\,,\,\nu\right)\\
&= \nabla^S_i\nabla^S_j(H+k) - (H+k)h_{il}g^{lm}h_{mj}. && (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Codazzi's equations, one can show that

$$\Delta^S h_{ij} = \nabla^S_i\nabla^S_j H + Hh_{il}g^{lm}h_{mj} - |A|^2h_{ij}, \qquad (14)$$

so that (10) follows from (14) and (13). From (10) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}H &= \frac{d}{dt}g^{ij}h_{ij}\\ &= 2(H+k)g^{is}h_{sl}g^{lj}h_{ij} + g^{ij}\left(\nabla_i^S\nabla_j^S(H+k) - (H+k)h_{il}g^{lm}h_{mj}\right)\\ &= \Delta^S(H+k) + (H+k)|A|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which gives (11). In addition, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|A|^2 &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(g^{ik}g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl}\right)\\ &= 2\frac{d}{dt}g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl} + 2g^{ik}g^{jl}\frac{d}{dt}h_{ij}h_{kl}\\ &= 2\left(2(H+k)g^{js}h_{st}g^{tl}\right)g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl}\\ &\quad + 2g^{ik}g^{jl}\left(\Delta^S h_{ij} + \nabla_i^S\nabla_j^S k - 2Hh_{il}g^{lm}h_{mj} - kg^{ml}h_{im}h_{jl} + |A|^2h_{ij}\right)h_{kl}\\ &= 2kg^{js}h_{st}g^{tl}g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl} + 2g^{ik}g^{jl}\Delta^S h_{ij}h_{kl} + 2|A|^4 + 2\left\langle A\,,\,(\nabla^S)^2k\right\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\Delta^S|A|^2 = 2\left\langle\Delta^S A\,,\,A\right\rangle + 2|\nabla^S A|^2 = 2g^{pq}g^{mn}h_{pm}\Delta^S h_{qn} + 2|\nabla^S A|^2. \tag{16}$$

so that (12) follows from (16) and (15). □

## 2.2 The Monotonicity Formula

We extend Huisken's monotonicity formula [Hui90] to the forced mean curvature flow (5) (see also [CNV11, Section 2.2]).

Given a vector field $\omega : M_t \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, we let

$$\omega^\perp = (\omega\,,\,\nu)\,\nu, \qquad \omega^T = \omega - \omega^\perp.$$

Letting $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, for $(X,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}\times[t_0,+\infty)$ we define the kernel

$$\rho(X,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0-t))^{d/2}}\exp\left(\frac{-|X_0-X|^2}{4(t_0-t)}\right).$$

A direct computation gives

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\Delta^S\rho + \rho\left(\frac{(X_0-X\,,\,(H+k)\nu)}{t_0-t} - \frac{|(X_0-X)^\perp|^2}{4(t_0-t)^2}\right). \tag{17}$$

**Proposition 2** (Monotonicty Formula)**.**

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t}\rho = -\int_{M_t}\rho\left(\left|H + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{(X-X_0\,,\,\nu)}{2(t_0-t)}\right|^2 - \frac{k^2}{4}\right).$$

*Proof.* Recalling (9), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t}\rho &= \int_{M_t}\frac{d}{dt}\rho - H(H+k)\rho\\ &= \int_{M_t}\rho\left(-\frac{|X-X_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)^2}+\frac{d}{2(t_0-t)}-\frac{(X-X_0\,,\,\nu)}{2(t_0-t)}(H+k)-H(H+k)\right)\\ &= -\int_{M_t}\rho\left(\left|H\nu+\frac{X-X_0}{2(t_0-t)}+\frac{k\nu}{2}\right|^2-\frac{k^2}{4}\right)+\int_{M_t}\frac{d}{2(t_0-t)}\rho+\int_{M_t}\rho\frac{(X-X_0\,,\,\nu)\,H}{2(t_0-t)}\end{aligned}$$

We use the first variation formula: for all vector field $\mathbf{Y}$ on $M_t$, we have

$$\int_{M_t}\mathrm{div}_{M_t}\,\mathbf{Y}=\int_{M_t}\langle H\nu\,,\,\mathbf{Y}\rangle\,.$$

As a result, with $\mathbf{Y}=\frac{\rho(X-X_0)}{2(t-t_0)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t}\rho &= -\int_{M_t}\rho\left(\left|H\nu+\frac{X-X_0}{2(t_0-t)}+\frac{k\nu}{2}\right|^2-\frac{k^2}{4}-\frac{|(X-X_0)^T|^2}{4(t_0-t)^2}\right)\\ &= -\int_{M_t}\rho\left(\left|H+\frac{(X-X_0\,,\,\nu)}{2(t_0-t)}+\frac{k}{2}\right|^2-\frac{k^2}{4}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

In a similar way (see [EH89]) one can prove that for all functions $f(X,t)$ defined on $M_t$, one has

$$\partial_t\int_{M_t}\rho f=\int_{M_t}\left(\frac{df}{dt}-\Delta^S f\right)\rho-\int_{M_t}f\rho\left(\left|H+\frac{(X-X_0\,,\,\nu)}{2(t_0-t)}+\frac{k}{2}\right|^2-\frac{k^2}{4}\right). \tag{18}$$

Indeed, using (17)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t}\rho f &= \int_{M_t}f\frac{d\rho}{dt}+\frac{df}{dt}\rho-H(H+k)f\rho\\ &= \int_{M_t}f\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt}-H(H+k)\rho\right)+\frac{df}{dt}\rho\\ &= \int_{M_t}f\left(-\Delta^S\rho+\rho\left(\frac{(X_0-X\,,\,(H+k)\nu)}{t_0-t}-\frac{1}{4}\frac{|(X_0-X)^\perp|^2}{(t_0-t)^2}\right)-H(H+k)\rho\right)+\frac{df}{dt}\rho\\ &= \int_{M_t}-\Delta^S f\rho+\left(\rho\left(\frac{(X_0-X\,,\,(H+k)\nu)}{t_0-t}-\frac{1}{4}\frac{|(X_0-X)^\perp|^2}{(t_0-t)^2}\right)-H(H+k)\rho\right)+\frac{df}{dt}\rho\\ &= \int_{M_t}\rho\left(\frac{d}{dt}f-\Delta^S f\right)-\int f\rho\left(\left|H+\frac{(X-X_0\,,\,\nu)}{2(t_0-t)}+\frac{k}{2}\right|^2-\frac{k^2}{4}\right).\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let $f$ be defined on $M_t$ and satisfy*

$$\frac{d}{dt}f-\Delta^S f\leqslant a\cdot\nabla^S f\quad\text{on } M_t \tag{19}$$

*for some vector field $a$ bounded on $[0,t_1]$. Then,*

$$\sup_{M_t,\,t\in[0,t_1]}f\leqslant\sup_{M_0}f.$$

*Proof.* Denote by $a_0$ the bound on $a$, $k := \sup_{M_0} f$ and define $f_l = \max(f - l, 0)$. Assumption (19) implies

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right) f_l^2 \leqslant 2 f_l a \cdot \nabla^S f_l - 2|\nabla^S f_l|^2$$

which, thanks to Young's inequality, gives

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right) f_l^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} a_0^2 f_l^2.$$

Applying (18) to $f_l^2$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int f_l^2 \rho \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(a_0^2 + \|k\|_\infty^2) \int f_l^2 \rho. \tag{20}$$

Letting $l = \sup_{M_0} f$, so that $f_l \equiv 0$ on $M_0$, from (20) and the Gronwall's Lemma we obtain that $f_l \equiv 0$ on $M_t$ for all $t \in (0, t_1]$, which gives thesis. □

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1

We now prove short time existence for the mean curvature flow with obstacles (1), (2). Let $M_0 = \partial E(0) \subset U$, where we assume that $U$, $E(0)$ are open sets with boundary uniformly of class $C^{1,1}$, with $\operatorname{dist}(M_0, \partial U) > 0$. In particular, $M_0$ satisfies a uniform exterior and interior ball condition, that is, there is $R > 0$ such that, for every $x \in M_0$, one can find two open balls $B^+$ and $B^-$ of radius $R$ which are tangent to $M_0$ at $x$ and such that $B^+ \subset E(0)^c$ and $B^- \subset E(0)$. Let also $\Omega^- := E(0) \setminus \overline{U}$, and $\Omega^+ := E(0)^c \setminus (M_0 \cup \overline{U})$. Notice that $\Omega^\pm$ are open sets with $C^{1,1}$ boundaries, with $\operatorname{dist}(\Omega^-, \Omega^+) > 0$. Let also

$$k := 2N(\chi_{\Omega^-} - \chi_{\Omega^+})$$

where $N$ is bigger than ($d$ times) the mean curvature of $\partial U$.

We want to show that equation (5), with $k$ as above, has a solution in an interval $[0, T)$. To this purpose, letting $\rho_\varepsilon$ be a standard mollifier supported in the ball of radius $\varepsilon$ centered at 0, we introduce a smooth regularization $k_\varepsilon = k * \rho_\varepsilon$ of $k$. Notice that $\|k_\varepsilon\|_\infty = 2N$, $k_\varepsilon(x) = 2N$ (resp. $k_\varepsilon(x) = -2N$) at every $x \in \Omega^-$ (resp. $x \in \Omega^-$) such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial U) \geq \varepsilon$, and $k_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ at every $x \in U$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial U) \geq \varepsilon$.

Using standard arguments (see for instance [EH91b, Theorem 4.1] and [EH91a, Prop. 4.1]) one can show existence of a smooth solution $M_t^\varepsilon$ of (5), with $k$ replaced by $k_\varepsilon$, on a maximal time interval $[0, T_\varepsilon)$.

Let now

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^\pm := \{x \in \Omega^\pm : \ \operatorname{dist}(x, U) > \varepsilon\}.$$

The following result follows directly from the definition of $k_\varepsilon$.

**Proposition 3.** *The hypersurfaces $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon^\pm$ are respectively a super and a subsolution of (5), with $k$ replaced with $k_\varepsilon$. In particular, by the parabolic comparison principle $M_t^\varepsilon$ cannot intersect $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon^\pm$.*

We will show that we can find a time $T > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon$, there exists a smooth solution of (5) (with $k$ replaced with $k_\varepsilon$) on $[0, T)$.

The following result will be useful in the sequel. We omit the proof which is a simple ODE argument.




Figure 1: Main notations of Proposition 4.

**Lemma 2.** *Let $M_0 = \partial B_R(x_0)$ be a ball of radius $R \leq 1$ centered at $x_0$. Then, the evolution $M_t$ by (5), with constant forcing term $k = 2N$, is given by $M_t = B_{R(t)}(x_0)$ with $R(t) \geqslant \sqrt{R^2 - (4N+2d)t}$. In particular, the solution exists at least on $\left[0, \frac{R^2}{4N+2d}\right)$.*

**Proposition 4.** *There exists $r > 0$, a collection of balls $B_i = B_r(x_i)$ of radius $r$, and a positive time $T_0$ such that $M_t^\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_i B_i$ for every $t \in [0, \min(T_0, T_\varepsilon))$. In addition, we can choose the balls $B_i$ in such a way that, for every $i$, there exists $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that $\partial\Omega^\pm \cap B_{4r}(x_i)$ are graphs of some functions $\psi_i^\pm : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ over $\omega_i^\perp$.*
*In particular, one has*

$$(\nabla k_\varepsilon \,,\, \omega_i) \geqslant |\nabla k_\varepsilon|/2 \quad \text{on } B_{2r}(x_i).$$

Most of these notations are summarized in Figure 1.

*Proof.* By assumption, for every $\mathbf{x} \in M_0$ there exist interior and exterior balls $B_x^\pm$ of fixed radius $R \leq 1$. Let $B_x^\pm(t)$ be the evolution of $B_x^\pm$ by (5) with forcing term $k = 2N$. By comparison, for every $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon)$, $B_x^+(t) \subset \Omega(t)^c$ and $B_x^-(t) \subset \overline{\Omega}(t)$. Recalling Lemma 2, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that $M_t \subset \{d_{M_0} \leqslant \delta\} =: C_\delta$, for all $t \in [0, \min(T_\varepsilon, T_0))$.
We eventually reduce $\delta, T_0$ such that $C_\delta$ can be covered with a collection of balls $B_i = B_r(x_i)$, centered at $x_i \in M_0$ and with a radius $r$ such that, for every $i$, there exists a unit vector $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ satisfying

$$\big(\omega_i \,,\, \nu^+(x)\big) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \big(\omega_i \,,\, \nu^-(y)\big) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $x \in \partial\Omega^+ \cap B_{4r}(x_i)$ and $y \in \partial\Omega^- \cap B_{4r}(x_i)$, where $\nu^\pm$ is the outer normal to $\Omega^\pm$.

As a result, $\partial\Omega^\pm \cap B_{4r}(x_i)$ are graphs of some functions $\psi_i^\pm : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ over $\omega_i^\perp$ (see Figure 1).

Notice also that $k$ is a $BV$ function and $Dk$ is a Radon measure concentrated on $\partial U$ such that

$$(Dk\,,\,\omega_i) \geqslant \frac{|Dk|}{2} \text{ on } B_{4r}(x_i).$$

Then, for every $x \in B_{2r}(x_i)$ and $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small (such that $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ as soon as $|x| \geqslant 2r$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega_i) &= \left(\nabla \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} k(x-y)\rho_\varepsilon(y)dy\,,\,\omega_i\right)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} (Dk(x-y)\,,\,\omega_i)\,\rho_\varepsilon(y)dy\\ &\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \frac{|Dk|(x-y)}{2}\rho_\varepsilon(y)dy\\ &\geqslant \frac{|Dk| * \rho_\varepsilon}{2} \geqslant \frac{|\nabla k_\varepsilon|}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

In what follows, we will control the geometric quantities of $M_t^\varepsilon$ inside each ball $B_i$. As in [EH91a], we introduce a localization function $\phi_i$ as follows: let $\eta_i(x,t) = |x-x_i|^2+(2d+\Lambda)t$ ($\Lambda$ be a positive constant that will be fixed later) and, for $R = 2r$, $\phi_i(x,t) = (R^2-\eta_i(x,t))^+$. We denote by $\phi_i$ the quantity $\phi_i(\mathbf{x},t)$, where $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(p,t)$ will be a generic point in $M_t$. Notice that there exists $T_1 = \frac{r^2}{2d+\Lambda}$ such that for all $t \in [0, \min(T_1, T_\varepsilon))$,

$$M_t^\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_i \{\phi_i > r^2\}. \tag{21}$$

As a result, we have the following

**Lemma 3.** *Let $f$ be a smooth function defined on $M_t^\varepsilon$. Assume that there is a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\phi_i f \leqslant C \text{ on } M_t^\varepsilon \qquad \forall t \leqslant \min(T_\varepsilon, T_1) \text{ and } \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then,*

$$f \leqslant \alpha C \text{ on } M_t^\varepsilon \qquad \forall t \leqslant \min(T_\varepsilon, T_1),$$

*where $\alpha$ depends only on the $C^{1,1}$ norm of $M_0$.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let $v := (\nu\,,\,\omega)^{-1}$. The quantity $v^2\phi^2$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)\left(\frac{v^2\phi^2}{2}\right) \;\leqslant\; &\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^S(v^2\phi^2)\,,\,\frac{\nabla^S\phi^2}{\phi^2}\right)\\ &+\phi^2 v^3\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right) + v^2\phi(2k_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu) - \Lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

*Proof.* In this proof and the proofs further, we use normal coordinates: we assume that $g_{ij})\delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker symbol) and that the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{ij}^k$ vanish at the computation point.

We expand the derivatives

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)\left(\frac{v^2\phi^2}{2}\right) = v^2\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2}{2} + \phi^2\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)\frac{v^2}{2} - 2\left\langle \nabla^S\frac{\phi^2}{2}\,,\,\nabla^S\frac{v^2}{2}\right\rangle.$$

*First term.* We start computing

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)|\mathbf{x}|^2 = -2k_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu) - 2d.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\phi^2 = 2\phi(2k_\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{x}-x_i\,,\,\nu\right)-\Lambda)-2|\nabla^S|\mathbf{x}|^2|^2.$$

*Second term.* We are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^2 &= \left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)\left(\frac{d}{dt}\nu\,,\,\omega\right) &(23)\\ &= \left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)\left(\nabla^S(H+k_\varepsilon)\,,\,\omega\right). &(24)\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-2} = -\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-3}\left(\nabla^S(H+k_\varepsilon)\,,\,\omega\right). \tag{25}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta^S((\omega\,,\,\nu)^{-2}) = \left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1}\Delta^S\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1} - \left\langle\nabla^S\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1}\,,\,\nabla^S\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1}\right\rangle. \tag{26}$$

Let us note that

$$\partial_{ij}\nu = \partial_i\left(h_{jl}g^{lm}\partial_m F\right) = \partial_i(h_{jl})\delta_{lm}\partial_m F - h_{jl}\delta_{lm}(-h_{im}\nu) = \partial_i(h_{jl})\partial_l F - \lambda_i^2\delta_{ij}\nu.$$

We then get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^S\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1} = \partial_{ii}\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1} &= \partial_i\left(-\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_i\nu\right)\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-2}\right) &(27)\\ &= -\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_{ii}\nu\right)\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-2} + 2\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_i\nu\right)^2\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-3} &(28)\\ &= -\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-2}\left(\partial_i h_{il}\partial_l F - \lambda_i^2\nu\,,\,\omega\right) + 2\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-3}\left(\omega\,,\,\lambda_i\partial_i F\right)^2. &(29)\\ &= -\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-2}\left(\partial_l h_{ii}\partial_l F\,,\,\omega\right) + |A|^2\left(\nu\,,\,\omega\right)^{-1} + 2\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-3}\left(\omega\,,\,\lambda_i\partial_i F\right)^2. &(30)\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\nabla^S\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1}\,,\,\nabla^S\left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-1}\right\rangle &= \left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-4}\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_k\nu\right)\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_k\nu\right) &(31)\\ &= \left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-4}\left(\omega\,,\,h_{ku}g^{uv}\partial_v F\right)^2 = \left(\omega\,,\,\nu\right)^{-4}\left(\omega\,,\,\lambda_k\partial_k F\right)^2, &(32)\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{v^2}{2} &= -v^3\left(\nabla^S(H+k_\varepsilon)\,,\,\omega\right) + v^3\partial_m(h_{ii})\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_m F\right)\\ &\quad -|A|^2v^2 - 2v^4\lambda_k^2\left(\omega\,,\,\partial_k F\right)^2 - v^4\left(\omega\,,\,\lambda_k\partial_k F\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

*Third term.* We notice, as in [EH91a] that $|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2 = 4\phi^2|\nabla^S(|\mathbf{x}|^2)|^2$ and

$$-\left(\nabla^S(v^2)\,,\,\nabla^S\phi^2\right) = -3\left(v\nabla^S(v)\,,\,\nabla^S\phi^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\nabla^S(v^2\phi^2)\,,\,\frac{\nabla^S\phi^2}{\phi^2}\right) - v^2\frac{|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2}{\phi^2}\right).$$

Then, Young's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}2\left|v\left(\nabla^S v\,,\,\nabla^S\phi^2\right)\right| &\leqslant 2\phi^2|\nabla^S v^2|^2 + \frac{1}{2\phi^2}|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2\\ &\leqslant 2\phi^2|\nabla^S v^2|^2 + 2v^2|\nabla^S|\mathbf{x}|^2|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$-\left(\nabla^S(v^2)\,,\,\nabla^S\phi^2\right)\leqslant -3\phi^2|\nabla^S v^2|^2-3v^2|\nabla^S|\mathbf{x}|^2|^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\nabla^S(v^2\phi^2)\,,\,\frac{\nabla^S\phi^2}{\phi^2}\right)-v^2\frac{|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2}{\phi^2}\right).$$

Summing the three terms, we get

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\left(\frac{v^2\phi^2}{2}\right)\leqslant\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^S(v^2\phi^2)\,,\,\frac{\nabla^S\phi^2}{\phi^2}\right)-\phi^2v^3\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)+v^2\phi(2k_\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu\right)-\Lambda).$$

□

For $\gamma>0$, we let

$$\psi(v^2):=\frac{\gamma v^2}{1-\gamma v^2}.$$

**Lemma 5.** *For $\varepsilon\leqslant r$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\;&\leqslant\;\phi^2\psi(v^2)(-\gamma|A|^4-2k_\varepsilon\sum_i\lambda_i^3-2\left\langle A\,,\,(\nabla^S)^2k_\varepsilon\right\rangle)\\&\quad-\phi^2|A|^2v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)-\phi^2|A|^2\sum_i(\lambda_i\omega^i)^2\frac{2v^4+\gamma v^6}{(1-\gamma v^2)^3}.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We denote $V=\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\;&=\;|A|^2\psi(v^2)\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{1}{2}\phi^2+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{1}{2}|A|^2\\&\quad+\phi^2|A|^2\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{1}{2}\psi(v^2)-2\left\langle 1/2\nabla^S|A|^2\,,\,1/2\nabla^S\phi^2\right\rangle\\&\quad-2\left\langle 1/2\nabla^S|A|^2\,,\,1/2\nabla^S\psi(v^2)\right\rangle-2\left\langle 1/2\nabla^S\phi^2\,,\,1/2\nabla^S\psi(v^2)\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The two first terms have already been computed. Let us consider in the third one.

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\psi(v^2)=v\frac{dv}{dt}\psi'(v^2)=-v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^S(H+k_\varepsilon)\,,\,\omega\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Delta^S\psi(v^2)=\frac{1}{2}\partial_{ii}\psi(v^2)=\partial_i(v\partial_i v\psi'(v^2))=v\Delta^S v\psi'(v^2)+2v^2|\nabla^S v|^2\psi''(v^2)+|\nabla^S v|^2\psi'(v^2)\\=(3|\nabla^S v|^2-v^3(\partial_l(h_{kk})w^l)+v^2|A|^2)\psi'(v^2)+2|\nabla^S v|^2\psi''(v^2).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{1}{2}\psi(v^2)=-v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)-(3|\nabla^S v|^2+v^2|A|^2)\psi'(v^2)-2v^2|\nabla^S v|^2\psi''(v^2).$$

As above, we want to conclude the proof using the weak maximum principle. So, we want to rewrite the last terms (which are gradient terms) using the gradient of $V$. Let us expand $\nabla^S V$.

$$\nabla^S\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}=\phi^2|A|^2\frac{1}{2}\nabla^S\psi(v^2)+|A|^2\psi(v^2)\frac{1}{2}\nabla^S\phi^2+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\frac{1}{2}\nabla^S|A|^2.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\nabla^S\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\right|^2 &= \phi^4|A|^4\frac{|\nabla^S\psi(v^2)|^2}{4}+|A|^4\psi^2(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2}{4}+\phi^4\psi^2(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S|A|^2|^2}{4}\\ &\quad+\phi^2|A|^4\psi(v^2)\left\langle\nabla^S\psi(v^2)\,,\,\nabla^S\phi^2\right\rangle+\phi^4|A|^2\psi(v^2)\left\langle\nabla^S\psi(v^2)\,,\,\nabla^S|A|^2\right\rangle\\ &\quad+|A|^2\psi^2(v^2)\phi^2\left\langle\nabla^S\phi^2\,,\,\nabla^S|A|^2\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

As a matter of fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}\left|\nabla^S\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\right|^2 &= \phi^2|A|^2\frac{|\nabla^S\psi(v^2)|^2}{4\psi(v^2)}+|A|^2\psi(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2}{4\phi^2}\\ &\quad+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S|A|^2|^2}{4|A|^2}+2|A|^2\left\langle\nabla^S\psi(v^2)/2\,,\,\nabla^S\phi^2/2\right\rangle\\ &\quad+2\phi^2\left\langle\nabla^S\psi(v^2)/2\,,\,\nabla^S|A|^2/2\right\rangle+2\psi(v^2)\left\langle\nabla^S\phi^2/2\,,\,\nabla^S|A|^2/2\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We use the last equality to rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\\ &\quad=|A|^2\psi(v^2)\left(\phi(2k_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu)-\Lambda)-|\nabla^S|x|^2|^2\right)\\ &\quad+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\left(-\left\langle\nabla^SA\,,\,\nabla^SA\right\rangle+|A|^4-2k_\varepsilon g^{js}h_{st}g^{tl}g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl}-2\left\langle A\,,\,\nabla^2k_\varepsilon\right\rangle\right)\\ &\quad+\phi^2|A|^2\left(-v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^Sk_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)-(3|\nabla^Sv|^2+v^2|A|^2)\psi'(v^2)-2v^2|\nabla^Sv|^2\psi''(v^2)\right)\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}\left|\nabla^S\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\right|^2\\ &\quad+\phi^2|A|^2\frac{|\nabla^S\psi(v^2)|^2}{4\psi(v^2)}+|A|^2\psi(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2}{4\phi^2}+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S|A|^2|^2}{4|A|^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

Let us precise some terms:

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2 &= 4\phi^2\cdot|-2\mathbf{x}^T|^2=4\phi^2(4|\mathbf{x}|^2-4\,(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu)),\\ |\nabla^S\psi(v^2)|^2 &= \psi'(v^2)^2|\nabla^Sv^2|^2=4\psi'(v^2)^2v^6\sum_k(\lambda_k\omega^k)^2,\\ |\nabla^S|A|^2|^2 &= 4\sum_i(\partial_i(h_{ll})\lambda_l)^2,\\ |\nabla^SA|^2 &= \sum_{i,k,l}(\partial_i(h_{km}))^2.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have the obvious estimate

$$|\nabla^S|A|^2|^2\leqslant 4|A|^2|\nabla^SA|^2.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi^2|A|^2\frac{|\nabla^S\psi(v^2)|^2}{4\psi(v^2)}+|A|^2\psi(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S\phi^2|^2}{4\phi^2}+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\frac{|\nabla^S|A|^2|^2}{4|A|^2}\\ &\qquad\leqslant\phi^2|A|^2\frac{\psi'(v^2)^2v^6\sum_k(\lambda_k\omega^k)^2}{\psi(v^2)}+4|A|^2\psi(v^2)(|\mathbf{x}|^2-(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu)^2)+\phi^2\psi(v^2)|\nabla^SA|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We plug this inequality into (33) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2} &\leqslant |A|^2\psi(v^2)\left(\phi(2k_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu)-\Lambda)-|\nabla^S|x|^2|^2\right)\\ &+\phi^2\psi(v^2)\left(-\langle\nabla^S A\,,\,\nabla^S A\rangle+|A|^4-2k_\varepsilon g^{js}h_{st}g^{tl}g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl}-2\langle A\,,\,\nabla^2 k_\varepsilon\rangle\right)\\ &+\phi^2|A|^2\left(-v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)-(3|\nabla^S v|^2+v^2|A|^2)\psi'(v^2)-2v^2|\nabla^S v|^2\psi''(v^2)\right)\\ &-\frac{1}{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}\left|\nabla\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\right|^2\\ &+\phi^2|A|^2\frac{\psi'(v^2)^2v^6\sum_k(\lambda_k\omega^k)^2}{\psi(v^2)}+4|A|^2\psi(v^2)(|\mathbf{x}|^2-(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu)^2)+\phi^2\psi(v^2)|\nabla^S A|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let us regroup some terms (noting that $|\nabla^S v|^2=v^4\sum_i(\lambda_i\omega^i)^2$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\\ &\quad\leqslant |A|^2\psi(v^2)\left(\phi(2k_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}\,,\,\nu)-\Lambda)\right)\\ &\quad+\phi^2|A|^4(\psi(v^2)-v^2\psi'(v^2))-2\phi^2\psi(v^2)k_\varepsilon g^{js}h_{st}g^{tl}g^{jl}h_{ij}h_{kl}-2\phi^2\psi(v^2)\langle A\,,\,\nabla^2k_\varepsilon\rangle\\ &\quad-\phi^2|A|^2v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)-\frac{1}{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}\left|\nabla^S\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}\right|^2\\ &\quad+\phi^2|A|^2\sum_i(\lambda_i\omega^i)^2\left(\frac{v^6\psi'(v^2)^2}{\psi(v^2)}-3v^4\psi'(v^2)-2v^6\psi''(v^2)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we note that

$$\frac{v^6\psi'(v^2)^2}{\psi(v^2)}-3v^4\psi'(v^2)-2v^6\psi''(v^2)=-\frac{2v^4+\gamma v^6}{(1-\gamma v^2)^3}\leqslant 0$$

and

$$\psi(v^2)-v^2\psi'(v^2)=-\gamma\psi^2(v^2)\leqslant 0.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2} &\leqslant \phi^2\psi(v^2)(-\gamma|A|^4-2k_\varepsilon\sum_i\lambda_i^3-2\langle A\,,\,\nabla^2k_\varepsilon\rangle)\\ &\quad-\phi^2|A|^2v^3\psi'(v^2)\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right)-\phi^2|A|^2\sum_i(\lambda_i\omega^i)^2\frac{2v^4+\gamma v^6}{(1-\gamma v^2)^3},\end{aligned}$$

what was expected. □

We now show that $M_t$ can be locally written as a Lipschitz graph, with Lipschitz constant independent of $\varepsilon$.

**Proposition 5.** *Let $\varepsilon\leqslant r$. Then, for every $t\in[0,\min(T_\varepsilon,T_1))$, $M_t\cap B_i$ can be written as a Lipschitz graph over $\omega_i^\perp$, with Lipschitz constant independent of $\varepsilon$.*

*Proof.* We want to show that the quantity $(\nu\,,\,\omega_i)$ is bounded from below, or, equivalently, that $v:=(\nu\,,\,\omega_i)^{-1}$ is bounded from above on every ball $B_i$. We want to estimate the quantity $v^2\phi^2$ (we drop the explicit dependence on the index $i$) using Lemma 4.

We choose $\Lambda$ such that the last term in (22) is nonpositive (take for instance $\Lambda = 2NR$). We also have to control

$$v\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right) = (\nu\,,\,\omega)^{-1}\left((\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega) - (\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\nu)\,(\nu\,,\,\omega)\right) = (\nu\,,\,\omega)^{-1}\,(\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega) - (\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\nu)\,.$$

Proposition 4 provides immediately

$$(\nu\,,\,\omega)^{-1}\,(\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega) - (\nabla k_\varepsilon\,,\,\nu) \geqslant (\nu\,,\,\omega)^{-1}\,\frac{|\nabla k_\varepsilon|}{2} - |\nabla k_\varepsilon|$$

which is nonnegative as soon as $(\omega\,,\,\nu) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. From Lemma 4 and the weak maximum principle (see [PW84]), we obtain that $\|v^2\phi^2\|_\infty(t) \leqslant \max(\|v^2\phi^2\|_\infty(0), 4R^2)$. Thanks to Lemma 3, this provides a uniform Lipschitz bound on the whole $M_t$, for $t \leqslant T_1$. □

Recalling Theorem 8.1 in [Hui84], from Proposition 5 it follows that, if $T_\varepsilon < T_1$, the second fundamental form of $M_t$ blows up as $t \to T_\varepsilon$. Let us show that it does not happen.

**Proposition 6.** *For every $\varepsilon \leqslant r$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\|A\|_{L^\infty(M_t)} \leqslant C_\varepsilon \qquad \textit{for all } t \in [0, \min(T_\varepsilon, T_1)).$$

*Proof.* As in [EH91a], we are interested in the evolution of the quantity

$$\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}.$$

Notice that

$$|\lambda_i|^3 = |\lambda_i||\lambda_i|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2\alpha}\lambda_i^4 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda_i^2.$$

Choosing $\alpha$ such that $\frac{2N}{\alpha} \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{2}$, one can write

$$\left|-2k_\varepsilon\phi^2\psi(v^2)\sum_i \lambda_i^3\right| \leqslant \phi^2\psi(v^2)\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}|A|^4 + N\alpha|A|^2\right).$$

In addition, as soon as $|A|^2 \geqslant 1$, one has $\left\langle A\,,\,\nabla^2 k_\varepsilon\right\rangle \leqslant |A|^2|\nabla^2 k_\varepsilon|$. One can also notice that as above, $v\left(\nabla^S k_\varepsilon\,,\,\omega\right) \geqslant 0$ as soon as $v \geqslant 2$. On the other hand, if $v \leqslant 2$, one has $v^3\psi'(v^2) = \frac{\psi(v)v}{1-\gamma v^2} \leqslant 4\psi(v)$ for $\gamma$ sufficiently small.

So, anyway, if $|A| \geqslant 1$,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2} \leqslant 2N\alpha\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2} + 4|\nabla^2 k_\varepsilon|\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2} + 8\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}|\nabla^S k_\varepsilon|.$$

Finally, we apply the maximum principle to

$$\tilde{A} := \exp\left[-\left(2N\alpha + 4\|\nabla^2 k_\varepsilon\|_\infty + 8\|\nabla k_\varepsilon\|_\infty\right)t\right]\cdot\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}$$

which satisfies

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta^S\right)\tilde{A} \leqslant 0.$$

It provides

$$\forall t \leqslant \min(T_\varepsilon, T_1), \quad \|\tilde{A}\|_\infty(t) \leqslant \|\tilde{A}\|_\infty(0)$$

which shows that $\frac{\phi^2|A|^2\psi(v^2)}{2}$ does not blow up.

Using Lemma 3 and choosing $\gamma$ such that $\psi(v^2)$ is bounded and remains far from zero, we know that $|A|$ does not blow up for $t \leqslant T_1$. □

**Corollary 1.** *There exists $T_1$, depending only on the dimension, $\|k\|_\infty$ and the radius in the ball condition for $M_0$, such that there exists a solution $M_t^\varepsilon$ of the mean curvature flow with forcing term $k_\varepsilon$ on $[0, T_1)$.*

The surfaces $M_t^\varepsilon$ are uniformly Lipschitz and every $M_t^\varepsilon \cap B_i$ can be written as the graph of some function $u_i^\varepsilon(x,t)$. All the $u_i^\varepsilon$ are Lipchitz (in space) with a constant which depends neither on $i$ nor in $\varepsilon$. We want to show that they are also equicontinuous in time.

**Proposition 7.** *The functions $u_i^\varepsilon$ are Lipschitz continuous in $x$ and $1/2$-Hölder continuous in $t$ on $B_i \times [0, T_1)$, uniformly with respect to $\varepsilon$ and $i$.*

*Proof.* Let $\delta$ be fixed (we drop the index $\varepsilon$ in what follows), and let $t_0 \in [0, T_1)$. Let $x_0 \in M_t$ and $i$ such that $x_0 \in B_i$. Then, $(\nu(x_0)\,,\,\omega_i) \leqslant C$ and $M_t$ is the graph of a function $u$ over $\omega_i^\perp$. Then, let $x_1 = x_0 + \delta\omega_i$. Thanks to the Lipschitz condition, there is a ball $B_{1/C\delta}(x_1)$ that does not touch $M_t$. Evolving by mean curvature with forcing term $k_\varepsilon$, this ball vanishes in a positive time $T_\delta \geqslant \omega(\delta) := \frac{\delta^2}{C^2(2d+1)}$ (note that $T_\delta$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$). By comparison principle, for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \omega(\delta))$, $M_t$ does not go beyond $x_1$. That is equivalent to say that $u$ is $1/2$-Hölder continuous in time, with a constant independent of $\varepsilon$. □

We now pass to the limit as $\varepsilon$ goes to zero. By Proposition 7, the family $(u_i^\varepsilon)$ is equi-Lipschitz in space and equi-continuous in time on $B_i \times [0, T_1)$. Therefore, by Arzelà–Ascoli's Theorem one can find a sequence $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and continuous functions $u_i$ such that, for every $i$, $u_i^{\varepsilon_n} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u_i$ locally uniformly on $B_i \times [0, T_1)$.

**Proposition 8.** *The functions $u_i$ are viscosity solutions of (3) on $B_i \times [0, T_1)$, with obstacles $U \cap B_i$ (see Appendix 5).*

*Proof.* Thanks to Proposition 4, every $x \in B_i$ can be decomposed as $x = x' + z\omega_i$ with $z = (x\,,\,\omega_i)$. Then, there exists functions $\psi_i^\pm$ of class $C^{1,1}$ such that

$$U \cap B_i = \{(x', z) \in B_i \,:\, \psi_i^-(x') \leqslant z \leqslant \psi_i^+(x')\}.$$

For simplicity we shall drop the explicit dependence on the index $i$. Since $u^\varepsilon(x,0) = u_0(x)$ for all $\varepsilon$, and $u^{\varepsilon_n}$ converges uniformly to $u$ as $n \to +\infty$, it is clear that $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$. Condition (45) immediately follows from Proposition 3.
We now check that $u$ is a subsolution of (3). Let $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^2$ such that $\psi^-(x_0,t_0) < u(x_0,t_0)$ and

$$(u-\varphi)(x_0,t_0) = \max_{|(x,t)-(x_0,t_0)|\leqslant r} (u-\varphi)(x,t).$$

One can change $\varphi$ so that $(x_0,t_0)$ is a strict maximum point, and $u(x_0,t_0) = \varphi(x_0,t_0)$. Let $2\delta := u(x_0,t_0) - \psi^-(x_0,t_0)$. Thanks to the definition of $k_\varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon \leqslant \delta$, we have $k_\varepsilon(x, \varphi(x,t)) \leqslant 0$ in a small neighborhood $V$ of $(x_0,t_0)$. Hence, for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $u^\varepsilon - \varphi$ attains its maximum in $V$ at $(x_\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon)$, with $(x_\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon) \to (x_0,t_0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Since $u^\varepsilon$ is a classical solution of (35), it is also a viscosity solution, therefore

$$\varphi_t - \sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}}\right) \leqslant \sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}\,k_\varepsilon(x,\varphi) \leqslant 0 \qquad \text{at}(x_\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we obtain that $u$ is a subsolution of (3). A similar argument shows that $u$ is also a supersolution of (3), and this concludes the proof. □

*Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.* The result in [PS07, Theorem 4.1] (see also Section A.4) applies, showing that the functions $u_i$ are of class $C^{1,1}$. As the uniform convergence $u_i^{\varepsilon_n}$ implies the Hausdorff convergence of $M_t^{\varepsilon_n}$ to a limit $M_t$ such that $M_t \cap B_i = \mathrm{graph}(u_i(t))$, we built a $C^{1,1}$ evolution to the mean curvature motion with obstacles on the time interval $[0, T_1)$. Thanks to [ACN12, Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.9] this evolution is also unique. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. □

# 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\psi_\varepsilon^\pm$ be smooth functions such that $\psi_\varepsilon^\pm \to \psi^\pm$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, uniformly in $C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $N > 0$ be such that

$$N \geq \left\| \sqrt{1+|\psi_\varepsilon^\pm|^2}\, \mathrm{div}\left( \frac{\psi_\varepsilon^\pm}{\sqrt{1+|\psi_\varepsilon^\pm|^2}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \qquad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{34}$$

We proceed as in Section 3 and we approximate (3), (4) with the forced mean curvature equation

$$u_t = \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\left[ \mathrm{div}\left( \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}} \right) + k_\varepsilon(x,u) \right], \tag{35}$$

where

$$k_\varepsilon(x,u) = 2N\left( \chi\left( \frac{\psi_\varepsilon^-(x)-u}{\varepsilon} \right) - \chi\left( \frac{u-\psi_\varepsilon^+(x)}{\varepsilon} \right) \right),$$

and $\chi$ is a smooth increasing function such that $\chi(s) \equiv 0$ for all $s \in (-\infty, 0]$, and $\chi(s) \equiv 1$ for all $s \in [1, \infty)$. In particular $\partial_u k_\varepsilon(x,u) \leq 0$ for all $(x,u)$.

Notice that $k_\varepsilon \to g$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, with

$$k(x,u) = \begin{cases} 2N \text{ if } u < \psi^-(x) \\ -2N \text{ if } u > \psi^+(x) \\ 0 \text{ elsewhere} \end{cases}.$$

Notice also that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial k_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k}(x,u) + \frac{\partial k_\varepsilon}{\partial u}(x,u)\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon^-}{\partial x_k} = 0 \quad \text{if } u < \psi_\varepsilon^+ \\ &\frac{\partial k_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k}(x,u) + \frac{\partial k_\varepsilon}{\partial u}(x,u)\frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon^+}{\partial x_k} = 0 \quad \text{if } u > \psi_\varepsilon^-. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

We denote by $u_\varepsilon$ the solution of the approximate problem (35), which exists and is smooth for short times.

**Proposition 9.** *The solution $u_\varepsilon$ is defined for $t \in [0, +\infty)$, and satisfies the estimates*

$$\|u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,+\infty) \tag{37}$$

$$\|u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(T) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T]. \tag{38}$$

*Proof.* Estimate (37) follows from Proposition 5, choosing $B_i = \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, $\omega_i = e_{d+1}$ and $\phi \equiv 1$. Estimate (38) follows from (37) and Proposition 6. □

In what follows, we use intrinsic derivatives on the graph $M_t := \{(x, u_\varepsilon(x,t))\}$, which will be denoted as above by an exponent $S$. The metric on $M_t$ is

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \partial_i u_\varepsilon \partial_j u$$

with inverse

$$g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i u_\varepsilon \partial_j u_\varepsilon}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}.$$

The tangential gradient of a function $f$ defined on $M_t$ is given by

$$(\nabla^S f)^i = g^{ij}\partial_j f = \partial_i f - \frac{\partial_i u_\varepsilon \partial_j u_\varepsilon}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\partial_j f\,,$$

so that

$$\left(\nabla^S f\,,\,\nabla u_\varepsilon\right) = (\nabla f\,,\,\nabla u_\varepsilon) - \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\,(\nabla f\,,\,\nabla u_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\,(\nabla f\,,\,\nabla u_\varepsilon)\,, \tag{39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla^S f|^2 &= \left(f_i - (u_\varepsilon)_i \sum_j \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_j f_j}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\right)^2 \\
&= |\nabla f|^2 + (u_\varepsilon)_i^2 \left(\frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon\,,\,\nabla f)}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\right)^2 - 2\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_i (u_\varepsilon)_j f_i f_j}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \\
&= |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon\,,\,\nabla f)^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} - 2\frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon\,,\,\nabla f)^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \\
&= |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon\,,\,\nabla f)^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} - \frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon\,,\,\nabla f)^2}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In addition, the Laplace-Beltrami operator applied to $f$ is

$$\Delta^S f = g^{ij} f_{ij} = \Delta f - \frac{\partial_i u_\varepsilon \partial_j u_\varepsilon}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} f_{ij} = \Delta f - \frac{\left(\nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla^2 f\,,\,\nabla u_\varepsilon\right)}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}.$$

**Proposition 10.** *The quantity $\|(u_\varepsilon)_t^2\|_\infty(t)$ is nonincreasing in time. In particular,*

$$\|(u_\varepsilon)_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \left\| \sqrt{1+|\nabla u_0|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u_0}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_0|^2}}\right)\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} = (u_\varepsilon)_t\left[\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\left(\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}}\right) + k_\varepsilon(x,u_\varepsilon)\right)\right]_t.$$

Expanding this expression, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} = (u_\varepsilon)_t\Bigg[&\frac{\nabla(u_\varepsilon)_t\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}}\left(\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}}\right) + k_\varepsilon\right) \\
&+\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\left(\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} - \frac{((\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon)\nabla u_\varepsilon}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}}\right) + (u_\varepsilon)_t\partial_u k_\varepsilon\right)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Let us compute more explicitly the three terms of the expression above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(u_\varepsilon)_t \frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} \left( \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} \right) + k_\varepsilon \right) \\
&\quad = \frac{\nabla(\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} \left( \frac{\Delta u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} - \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_i \left(\nabla u_\varepsilon \, , \, (\nabla u_\varepsilon)_i\right)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} + k_\varepsilon \right) \\
&\quad = \nabla(\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon \left( \frac{\Delta u_\varepsilon}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} - \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2})}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^2} + k_\varepsilon \right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u_\varepsilon)_t \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla (u_\varepsilon)_t}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} \right) &= (u_\varepsilon)_t \partial_i \left( \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_{ti}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t (u_\varepsilon)_{tii}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} - \frac{1}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} (u_\varepsilon)_t (u_\varepsilon)_{ti} \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot (\nabla u_\varepsilon)_i \\
&= \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t \Delta (u_\varepsilon)_t}{\sqrt{1+\nabla u_\varepsilon^2}} - \frac{1}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} (u_\varepsilon)_t (u_\varepsilon)_{ti} \partial_i (\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2}) \\
&= \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t \Delta (u_\varepsilon)_t}{\sqrt{1+\nabla u_\varepsilon^2}} - \frac{1}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} \nabla \left( \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} \right) \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2} \right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(u_\varepsilon)_t \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{((\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla u_\varepsilon}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} \right) \\
&\quad = \Delta u_\varepsilon \frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon \, , \, (u_\varepsilon)_t \nabla (u_\varepsilon)_t)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t (u_\varepsilon)_{tij} (u_\varepsilon)_j (u_\varepsilon)_i}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{((u_\varepsilon)_i \nabla (u_\varepsilon)_i \, , \, (u_\varepsilon)_t \nabla (u_\varepsilon)_t)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} \\
&\qquad - 3 (u_\varepsilon)_i \frac{((u_\varepsilon)_t \nabla (u_\varepsilon)_t \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \, (\nabla (u_\varepsilon)_i \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{5/2}} \\
&\quad = \Delta u_\varepsilon \frac{\left( \nabla u_\varepsilon \, , \, \nabla (\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \right)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t (u_\varepsilon)_{tij} (u_\varepsilon)_j (u_\varepsilon)_i}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\left( \nabla (\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2}) \, , \, \nabla (\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \right)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{3/2}} \\
&\qquad - 3 \frac{\left( \nabla (\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon \right) \left( \nabla (\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2}) \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon \right)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{5/2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^S \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} &= \Delta \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} - \frac{\left( \nabla u_\varepsilon \, , \, \nabla^2 \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} \nabla u_\varepsilon \right)}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \\
&= (u_\varepsilon)_t \Delta (u_\varepsilon)_t + |(\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t|^2 - \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_i (u_\varepsilon)_j (u_\varepsilon)_t (u_\varepsilon)_{tij} + (u_\varepsilon)_i (u_\varepsilon)_j (u_\varepsilon)_{ti} (u_\varepsilon)_{tj}}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We then get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} &= \frac{\left( \nabla (\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon \right)}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}} k_\varepsilon + \Delta^S \left( \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} \right) - 2 \frac{\left( \nabla \left( \frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2} \right) \, , \, \nabla \left( \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2} \right) \right)}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{\left( \nabla (\frac{(u_\varepsilon)_t^2}{2}) \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon \right) \left( \nabla (\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2}) \, , \, \nabla u_\varepsilon \right)}{(1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^2} + \frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon \, , \, (\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t)^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} - |(\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t|^2 + (u_\varepsilon)_t^2 \partial_u k_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the last term is nonpositive by definition of $k_\varepsilon$.

In order to apply Lemma 1, we have to show the inequality

$$-\frac{(\nabla u_\varepsilon\,,\,(\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t)^2}{1+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}+|(\nabla u_\varepsilon)_t|^2\geqslant 0.$$

It is enough to note that, since the solution exists for all times and it is smooth, the term $\nabla(\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{2})$ is bounded on each $[0,T]$ (the bound depends on $T$ and $\varepsilon$ but is enough to apply the lemma). In addition, every factor containing $\nabla((u_\varepsilon)_t^2/2)$ also contains $\nabla u_\varepsilon$, hence the assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied for every $T>0$, and this concludes the proof. □

From Propositions 9 and 10, we deduce the following result.

**Proposition 11.** *If $u_0$ is $C$-Lipschitz in space for some $C>0$, and has bounded mean curvature, then the solution $u_\varepsilon$ of the approximate problem* (35) *is $C$-Lipschitz in space and Lipschitz in time with constant*

$$\left\|\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_0|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u_0}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_0|^2}}\right)\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

*Moreover, the following inequalities hold*

$$\psi_\varepsilon^-(x)-\varepsilon\leq u_\varepsilon(x,t)\leq\psi_\varepsilon^+(x)+\varepsilon. \tag{41}$$

*Proof.* The Lipschitz bounds of the solution are clear (it is Proposition 9 and 10).

In order to prove the second assertion, let us notice that by (34) and the definition of $k_\varepsilon$, we have

$$k_\varepsilon(x,\psi_\varepsilon^--\varepsilon)=2N\geqslant\left\|\sqrt{1+|\psi_\varepsilon^-|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\psi_\varepsilon^-}{\sqrt{1+|\psi_\varepsilon^-|^2}}\right)\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

so that $\psi_\varepsilon^--\varepsilon$ is a subsolution of (35). By the parabolic comparison principle (as in Proposition 3), we deduce that

$$\psi_\varepsilon^--\varepsilon\leqslant u_\varepsilon.$$

The same arguments shows the other inequality in (41). □

*Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 2.* Since the solutions $u_\varepsilon$ are equi-Lipschitz in space and time, they converge uniformly, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, to a limit function $u$ which is also Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d\times[0,+\infty)$.
Equation (41) yields

$$\psi^-\leqslant u\leqslant\psi^+,$$

and Proposition 8 gives that $u$ is a viscosity solution of (43).

Concerning the regularity of $u$, we proved that $(u_\varepsilon)_t$ and $\nabla u_\varepsilon$ are bounded on $[0,T]$, for any $T$ in the approximate problem. This gives a bound on the mean curvature of the approximate solution. This bound does not depend on $\varepsilon$ and remains true for the viscosity solution. As a result, the exact solution has bounded mean curvature and bounded gradient, which shows that $\Delta u$ is $L^\infty$ and, by elliptic regularity theory, $u$ is also in $W^{2,p}$ for any $p>1$, and so $C^{1,\alpha}$ for every $\alpha<1$ (see [Lun95] for details).

By Theorem 4 below, we can also directly apply to the solution $u$ a regularity result by Petrosyan and Shahgholian in [Sha08, PS07]. It follows that $u$ is in fact of class $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$, and this concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

□

# 5 Proof of Theorem 3

We compute the evolution of the area of the graph of $u$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_Q \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = \int_Q \frac{(\nabla u_t\,,\,\nabla u)}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}} = -\int_Q u_t \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right). \tag{42}$$

Notice that, for almost every $t>0$, $u_t(t,x)=0$ almost everywhere on the contact set. Indeed, for almost every $t$, $u_t$ exists for almost every $x\in Q$. If $u(x,t)=\psi^{\pm}(x)$, then $u-\psi^{\pm}$ reaches an extremum in $(x,t)$, which gives, $u_t(x,t)=0$. In particular, from (42) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_Q \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = -\int_Q u_t \left(\frac{u_t}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right).$$

Integrating this equality in time, we obtain

$$\int_Q \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\Big|_0^T = \int_0^T\int_Q -\frac{u_t^2}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}.$$

which shows that

$$\int_0^T\int_Q u_t^2$$

is uniformly bounded in $T$. As a result $u_t\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+,Q)$ so $u$ is in $H^1(Q,B_R)$.

Since $\|u_t\|_{L^2(Q)}$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, there exists a sequence $t_n\to\infty$ such that

$$\|u_t\|_{L^2(Q)}(t_n) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

In addition, $u(t_n)$ is equi Lipschitz and converges uniformly on compact sets to some $u_\infty$ which therefore satisfies in the viscosity sense

$$\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+\nabla u^2}}\right)=0$$

with obstacles $\psi^{\pm}$ (see Appendix 5).

□

*Remark.* By [ISZ98], $u_{\min}$ is analytic out of the (closed) contact set $\{u_{\min}=\psi^{\pm}\}$.

# A Viscosity solutions with obstacles

## A.1 Definition of viscosity solution

Given an open subset $B$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $u_0$, $\psi^+$ and $\psi^-$ be three Lipschitz functions $B\to\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi^-(x,0)\leqslant u_0(x)\leqslant\psi^+(x,0).$$

We are interested in the viscosity solutions of the equation

$$u_t=\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right),\qquad u(x,0)=u_0(x), \tag{43}$$

with the constraint

$$\psi^-(x)\leqslant u(x,t)\leqslant\psi^+(x). \tag{44}$$

**Definition 1** (see [CIL92, Mer14]). *We say that a function $u : B \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a* viscosity subsolution *of* (43) *if $u$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- *$u$ is upper semicontinuous;*
- *$u(x,0) \leqslant u_0(x)$;*
- $$\psi^-(x) \leqslant u(x,t) \leqslant \psi^+(x); \tag{45}$$
- *for any $(x_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\varphi \in C^2$ such that $u - \varphi$ has a maximum at $(x_0,t_0)$ and $u(x_0,t_0) > \psi^-(x_0)$,*
$$u_t \leqslant \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right). \tag{46}$$

*Similarly, $u$ is a* viscosity supersolution *of* (43) *if:*

- *$u$ is lower semicontinuous;*
- *$u(x,0) \geqslant u_0(x)$;*
- (45) *holds;*
- *for any $(x_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\varphi \in C^2$ such that $u - \varphi$ has a minimum at $(x_0,t_0)$ and $u(x_0,t_0) < \psi^+(x_0)$,*
$$u_t \geqslant \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right).$$

*We say that $u$ is a* viscosity solution *of* (43) *if it is both a super and a subsolution.*

## A.2 Comparison principle

In order to prove uniqueness of continous viscositysolutions of (43), we shall prove a comparison principle between solutions following [GGIS91, Theorem 4] (see also [CGG91]).

**Proposition 12.** *If $u$ is a viscosity subsolution of* (43) *on $[0,T)$, $v$ is a viscosity supersolution, if $\psi^\pm$ are Lipschitz in space and if $u(x,0) \leqslant v(x,0)$, then $u(x,t) \leqslant v(x,t)$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$.*

*Proof.* We will check that the proof of [GGIS91, Theorem 2.1] can be extended to the obstacle case. Notice first that the assumptions $(A.1) - (A.3)$ of [GGIS91, Theorem 2.1] are satisfied also in our case. Indeed, $(A.1)$ comes directly from the Lipschitz bound on $\psi^\pm$ and the constraint $\psi^- \leqslant u, v \leqslant \psi^+$ whereas $(A.2)$ and $(A.3)$ result from the assumed time zero comparison.

Let us show that [GGIS91, Proposition 2.3] also holds. Indeed, up to Equation (2.9) nothing chenges. To continue the proof, using the same notation of [GGIS91, Proposition 2.3], we have to check that if
$$\sup_V (w - \Psi) > 0,$$
then the supremum is reached in the complementary of the contact set $\{u = \psi^-\} \cup \{v = \psi^+\}$.

Indeed, notice that if $u(x,t) = \psi^-(x)$, then, for all $x, y, t, s$,

$$u(x,t) - v(y,s) = \psi^-(x) - v(y,s) \leqslant \psi^-(y) + L(|x-y|) - v(y,s) \leqslant L(|x-y|)$$

since $v \geqslant \psi^-$. Hence, if $u(x,t) = \psi^-(x)$, with $K' > L$, we must have $w - \Psi \leqslant 0$, so the supremum of $w - \Psi$ is attained in the complementary of $\{u = \psi^-\}$. One can show similarly that the supremum is reached in the complementary of $\{v = \psi^+\}$. Hence Proposition 2.3 of [GGIS91] holds.

From Proposition 2.4 to Lemma 2.7 of [GGIS91], every result holds without changes.

Concerning the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [GGIS91], the first assumption is

$$\alpha = \limsup_{\theta \to 0} \{w(t,x,y), \mid |x-y| \leqslant \theta\} > 0.$$

Then, Proposition 2.4 gives constants $\delta_0$ and $\gamma_0$ such that for all $\delta \leqslant \delta_0$, $\gamma \leqslant \gamma_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there holds

$$\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t}) := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [0,T)} \Phi(x,y,t) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

with

$$\Phi(t,x,y) = u(x,t) - v(y,t) - \frac{|x-y|^4}{4\varepsilon} - \delta(|x|^2 + |y|^2) - \frac{\gamma}{T-t}$$

To conclude the proof, we only have to show that the maximum of $\Phi$ is once again attained on the complementary of $\{u = \psi^-\} \cup \{v = \psi^+\}$. In the same way as for Proposition 2.3, if $u(x,t) = \psi^-(x)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t,x,y) &= u(x,t) - v(y,t) - \frac{|x-y|^4}{4\varepsilon} - \delta(|x|^2 + |y|^2) - \frac{\gamma}{T-t} \\ &\leqslant \psi^-(y) + L|x-y| - v(y,t) \leqslant L|x-y|.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.5, $|\hat{x} - \hat{y}| \underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$. So, with $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small (one can reduce the quantity $\varepsilon_0$ given by Proposition 2.6), $\Phi$ has its maximum out of $\{u = \psi^-\}$ (and similarly out of $\{v = \psi^+\}$), which enables the application of Lemma 2.7 and gives a contradiction as in [GGIS91]. □

## A.3 Existence

In this subsection, we prove the following result:

**Proposition 13.** *There exists a continuous viscosity solution to* (43).

We follow [CIL92] to build a solution by means of the Perron's method. Let us state an obvious but useful proposition and a key lemma for applying Perron's method.

**Proposition 14.** *Let $u$ be a subsolution of the mean curvature motion for graphs (without obstacles) which satisfies $u \leqslant u^+$. Then, $u_{ob} := u \vee u^-$ is a subsolution of* (43) *with obtacles (the same happends for $v$ supersolution and $v_{ob} = v \wedge u^+$).*

In the sequel, we shall denote by $u^*$ (resp. $u_*$) the upper (resp. lower) semicontinuous envelope of a function $u$.

**Lemma 6.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subsolutions of* (43). *We define*

$$U(x,t) = \sup\{u(x,t) \mid u \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

*Then, $U^*$ is a subsolution of* (43).

The proof of the proposition and the lemma can be found in [CIL92], Lemma 4.2 (with obvious changes due to the parabolic situation and obstacles).

**Construction of barriers** In the sequel, to claim that the initial condition is taken by the viscosity solution, we need to build barriers to sandwich the solution. More precisely, we want to build a subsolution $w^-$ such that $(w^-)^*(x,0) = u_0(x)$ and a supersolution $w^+$ such that $(w^+)_*(x,0) = u_0(x)$. To show this claim, let us begin by a simple fact.

Let

$$g^a_{\alpha,b}(x) = -\sum \alpha_i \frac{(x-a)_i^2}{\sqrt{1+(x-a)_i^2}} + b \tag{47}$$

for some $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_i \geqslant 0$ such that $g(x) \leqslant u_0(x)$. Note in particular that

$$g^a_{\alpha,b}(x) \geqslant -\sum \alpha_i (x-a)_i^2 + b \quad \text{and} \quad H(g^a_{\alpha,b}) \geqslant H(g^a_{\alpha,b})|_{t=0} = -2\sum \alpha_i. \tag{48}$$

Then, it is easy to show (using Proposition 14) that the function

$$v(x,t) = \left( g^a_{\alpha,b}(x) + \left( 2\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + 3M \right) t \right) \vee \psi^-$$

is a subsolution of (43). Indeed, the curvature of $g^a_{\alpha,b}$ is smaller than $2\sum \alpha_i$ and its gradient is bounded by 2 (so $\sqrt{1+|\nabla g|^2} \leqslant 3$).

Thanks to Lemma 6, the function

$$w^-(x,t) = \left( \sup_{\substack{(\alpha_i),c \\ g^c_{\alpha,b} \leqslant u_0}} \left( g^a_{\alpha,b}(x) - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i t - 3Mt \right) \vee \psi^- \right)^*$$

is a subsolution of (43) (with obstacles).
It remains to show that $(w^-)^*(x,0) = u_0(x)$. To see this, notice that since $u_0$ is Lipschitz and $u_0 \geqslant \psi^-$, $u_0(x) = w^-(x,0)$, yielding $u_0(x) \leqslant (w^-)^*(x,0)$. But for all $t \geqslant 0$, $v(x,t) \leqslant u_0(x)$ so $w^-(x,t) \leqslant u_0(x)$. By continuity of $u_0$, $(w^-)^*(x,t) \leqslant u_0(x)$, which shows that $(w^-)^*(x,0) = u_0(x)$, and $w^-$ is a low barrier for solutions of (43).

We build $w^+$ in the same way.

**Perron's method** We use the classical Perron's method to build a solution of (43) on $[0,T)$ for every $t > 0$. Let us define

$$W(x,t) = \sup\{u(x), \mid u \text{ is a subsolution of (43) on } [0,T)\}.$$

Since $\psi^-$ is a subsolution, this set in non empty and $W$ is well defined. Every subsolution is less that $\psi^+$, so is $W$.

Thanks to Lemma 6, $W^*$ is a subsolution of (43) regardless the initial conditions. Applying the comparison principle (Proposition 12) to every subsolution $u$ and $w^+$ gives

$$\forall x,t,\ W(x,t) \leqslant w^+(x,t).$$

Considering the upper-semi-continuous envelopes, we get

$$\forall x,t,\ W^*(x,t) \leqslant (w^+)^*(x,t)$$

which immediately yields to

$$W^*(x,0) = u_0(x).$$

Then, $W^*$ is a subsolution (with initial conditions), hence $W^* = W$ which shows the upper semi-continuity of $W$.

We want to prove that $W$ is actually a solution of (43). In this order, let us prove the following

**Lemma 7.** *Let $u$ be a subsolution of (43). If $u_*$ fails to be a supersolution (regardless initial conditions) at some point $(\hat{x},\hat{t})$ then there exists a subsolution $u_\kappa$ (regardless initial conditions) satisfying $u_\kappa \geqslant u$ and $\sup u_\kappa - u > 0$ and such that $u(x,t) = u_\kappa(x,t)$ for $|x-\hat{x}|, |t-\hat{t}| \leqslant \kappa$.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that $u_*$ fails to be a supersolution at $(0,1)$. Then there exists $(a,p,X) \in \mathcal{J}^{2,-}u_*(0,1)$ with

$$a + F(p,X) + k(0)\sqrt{1+p^2} < 0.$$

Let us then define

$$u_{\delta,\gamma}(x,t) = u_*(0,1) + \delta + (p\,,\,x) + a(t-1) + \frac{1}{2}\,(Xx\,,\,x) - \gamma(|x|^2 + t - 1).$$

Thanks to the continuity of $F$ and $k$, $u_{\delta,\gamma}$ is a classical subsolution on $B_r(0,1)$ of $u_t + F(Du, D^2u) + k(x)\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = 0$ for $\delta, \gamma, r$ sufficiently small. By assumption,

$$u(x,t) \geqslant u_*(x,t) \geqslant u_*(0,1) + a(t-1) + (p\,,\,x) + \frac{1}{2}\,(Xx, x\,,\,+)\,o(|x|^2 + |t-1|).$$

With $\delta = \gamma\frac{r^2+r}{8}$, we get $u(x,t) > u_{\delta,\gamma}(x,t)$ for small $r$ and $|x|, |t-1| \in [\frac{r}{2}, r]$. Reducing again $r$, we can assume that $u_{\delta,\gamma} < \psi^+$ on $B_r$. Thanks to Lemma 6,

$$\tilde{u}(x,t) = \begin{cases} \max(u(x,t), u_{\delta,\gamma}(x,t)) \text{ if } |x, t-1| < r \\ u(x) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a subsolution of (43) (with no initial conditions). □

Finally, this lemma combined with the definition of $W$ proves that $W$ is in fact a solution of (43) (the initial conditions were already checked).

## A.4 Regularity

**Proposition 15.** *The unique solution $u$ of (43) is Lipschitz in space, with the same constant as $u_0, \psi^\pm$.*

*Proof.* We will prove that $u_z(x,t) = u(x+z,t) - L|z|$ is in fact a subsolution of (43). The Lipschitz bound is then straightforward (using the comparison principle).

To begin, we notice that $u(x+z,t) - L(|z|) \leqslant u^+(x,t)$ and $u(x+z,0) - L|z| \leqslant u_0(x+z) - L|z| \leqslant u_0(x)$.

Assume now that $\varphi$ is any smooth function which is greater than $u_z$ with equality at $(\hat{x},\hat{t})$. Then, either, $u_z(\hat{x},\hat{t}) = \psi^-(\hat{x},\hat{t})$ and nothing has to be done, or $u_z(\hat{x},\hat{t}) > \psi^-(\hat{x},\hat{t})$. In the second alternative, one can write

$$u(\hat{x}+t,\hat{t}) > \psi^-(\hat{x}) = \psi^-(\hat{x}+z) + (\psi^-(\hat{x}) - \psi^-(\hat{x}+z),$$

so

$$u(\hat{x}+z,\hat{t}) > \psi^-(\hat{x}+z) + \underbrace{\psi^-(\hat{x}) - \psi^-(\hat{x}+z) + L|z|}_{\geqslant 0} \geqslant u^-(\hat{x}+z,\hat{t}).$$

As $u$ is a subsolution at $(\hat{x}+z,\hat{t})$ and $u(x+z,t) \leqslant \varphi(x,t) + L|z|$ with equality at $(\hat{x}+z,\hat{t})$, one can write with $y = x+z$, $s = t$,

$$u(y,t) \leqslant \varphi(y-z,s) + L|z| := \phi(y,s),$$

with equality at $(\hat{y}, \hat{s})$ which gives

$$\phi_t + F(D\phi(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), D^2\phi(\hat{x}, \hat{t})) \leqslant 0.$$

Since the derivatives of $\phi$ and $\varphi$ are the same, we deduce

$$\varphi_t + F(D\varphi, D^2\varphi) \leqslant 0,$$

what was expected. □

*Remark.* With the same arguments, one can prove that

$$\forall \delta > 0, \quad \forall x, t, \quad |u(x, t+\delta) - u(x, t)| \leqslant \sup_x |u(x, \delta) - u(x, 0)|.$$

We now present a general regularity result by Shahgholian [Sha08] which applies to viscosity solutions for parabolic equations with obstacles.

**Theorem 4** ([PS07], Th. 4.1)**.** *Let $Q^+ := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : |x| < 1, t \in [0, 1)\}$ and $H(u) = F(D^2u, Du) - u_t$ where $F$ is uniformly elliptic. Let $u$ be a continuous viscosity solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} (u - \psi)H(u) &= 0, \\ H(u) &\leqslant 0, \\ u &\geqslant \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

*in $Q^+$, with boundary data*

$$u(x, t) = g(x, t) \geqslant \psi(x, t) \qquad \text{on } \{|x| = 1\} \cup \{t = 0\}. \tag{50}$$

*Assume that $\psi \in C^{1,1}(Q^+)$ and $g$ is continuous. Then, $u \in C^{1,1}$ on every compact subset of $Q^+$.*

It has to be noticed $H = F - \partial_t$ where $F(D^2u, Du) = -\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right)$ satisfies all the assumptions of [Sha08], 1.3. Indeed, the uniform ellipticity is provided by the Lipschitz bound obtained in previous subsection.

Moreover, the viscosity solution $u$ of (43) satisfies (49) and (50) on every cylinder $Q_r^+(x_0) := \{|x - x_0| \leqslant r,\ t \in [t_0, t_0 + r)\}$ such that $r$ is choosen sufficiently small in order to have either $Q_r^+(x_0) \cap \{u = \psi^+\} = \emptyset$ or $Q_r^+(x_0) \cap \{u = \psi^-\} = \emptyset$. In the second alternative, change every sign in the equations.

Applying Theorem 4 we get a $C^{1,1}$ bound for $u$ on every compact subset of $Q_r^+(x_0)$. To show that $u$ is $C^{1,1}$ in the whole space, just cover $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+$ with such $Q_r^+(x_i)$.

# References


[ACN12] L. Almeida, A. Chambolle, and M. Novaga. Mean curvature flow with obstacles. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 29(5):667–681, 2012.

[ATW93] Fred Almgren, Jean E. Taylor, and Lihe Wang. Curvature-driven flows: a variational approach. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 31(2):387–438, 1993.

[Bra78] Kenneth A. Brakke. *The motion of a surface by its mean curvature*, volume 20 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1978.

[CGG91] Yun Gang Chen, Yoshikazu Giga, and Shun'ichi Goto. Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(3):749–786, 1991.

[CIL92] Michael G. Crandall, Hitoshi Ishii, and Pierre-Louis Lions. User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 27(1):1–67, 1992.

[CN13] Annalisa Cesaroni and Matteo Novaga. Long-time behavior of the mean curvature flow with periodic forcing. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 38(5):780–801, 2013.

[CNV11] Annalisa Cesaroni, Matteo Novaga, and Enrico Valdinoci. Curve shortening flow in heterogeneous media. *Interfaces Free Bound.*, 13(4):485–505, 2011.

[EH89] Klaus Ecker and Gerhard Huisken. Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(3):453–471, 1989.

[EH91a] Klaus Ecker and Gerhard Huisken. Interior estimates for hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 105(3):547–569, 1991.

[EH91b] Klaus Ecker and Gerhard Huisken. Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 135(3):595–613, 1991.

[ES91] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(3):635–681, 1991.

[ESV12] Charles M Elliott, Björn Stinner, and Chandrasekhar Venkataraman. Modelling cell motility and chemotaxis with evolving surface finite elements. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, page rsif20120276, 2012.

[GGIS91] Y. Giga, S. Goto, H. Ishii, and M.-H. Sato. Comparison principle and convexity preserving properties for singular degenerate parabolic equations on unbounded domains. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 40(2):443–470, 1991.

[GH86] M. Gage and R. S. Hamilton. The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves. *J. Differential Geom.*, 23(1):69–96, 1986.

[Hui84] Gerhard Huisken. Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(1):237–266, 1984.

[Hui90] Gerhard Huisken. Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, 31(1):285–299, 1990.

[ISZ98] Tom Ilmanen, Peter Sternberg, and William P. Ziemer. Equilibrium solutions to generalized motion by mean curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 8(5):845–858, 1998. Dedicated to the memory of Fred Almgren.

[LS95] Stephan Luckhaus and Thomas Sturzenhecker. Implicit time discretization for the mean curvature flow equation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 3(2):253–271, 1995.

[Lun95] Alessandra Lunardi. *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 1995. [2013 reprint of the 1995 original] [MR1329547].

[Mer14] G. Mercier. Mean curvature flow with obstacles: a viscosity approach. Preprint, 2014.

[PS07] Arshak Petrosyan and Henrik Shahgholian. Parabolic obstacle problems applied to finance. In *Recent developments in nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 439 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 117–133. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[PW84] Murray H. Protter and Hans F. Weinberger. *Maximum principles in differential equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. Corrected reprint of the 1967 original.

[Sha08] Henrik Shahgholian. Free boundary regularity close to initial state for parabolic obstacle problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(4):2077–2087, 2008.

[Spa11] E Spadaro. Mean-convex sets and minimal barriers. Preprint, 2011.